PROPOSAL EVALUATION SUMMARY RFP #: R2084 PROVIDER'S NAME: YOUTH SERVICES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Technical Proposal for V rement Capability (Only)				Kevin George		Mack McLeod		Mary Johnson		
		Maximum	Maximum	Evaluator 1		Evaluator 2		Evaluator 3		Averaged
	Weight	Score	Points	Score	Points	Score	Points	Score	Points	Points
Category 1-Management Capability			125		100		90		110	100.00
Consideration 1	5	5	25	4 4 4	20		20	5	25	
Consideration 2	5	5	25	3	15	4	20		20	
Consideration 3	5	5	25	4	20	3	15	5	25	
Consideration 4	5	5	25	4 4	20	4 4 5 3 1	20	4	20	
Consideration 5	5	5	25	5	25	La _n ,3	15	4	20	
Total Maxime	125			Manage	ement (Capability Sub	total:	100.00		
for Mana										

Technical Proposal Award Criteria				Kevin		Mack	ymson intellementalis.	Mary		
for Categories 2-16				George		McLeod		Johnson		-
(i.e., Does not include Management Capability		Maximum	Maximum	Evaluator 1		Evaluator 2		Evaluator 3		Averaged
or Overlay Services)	Weight	Score	Points	Score	Points	Score	Points	Score	Points	Points
Category 2Options			15		10		9		11	10.00
Consideration 1	1	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	V///////
Consideration 2	1 1	5	5	4	4	3	3	4	4	
Consideration 3	1	5	5	3	3	3	3	4	4	
Category 3-Staffing	V//////		40		24	VIIIIIIII	- 26		26	25.33
Consideration 1	5	5	25	3	15	3	15	3	15	111111111
Consideration 2	1 1	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Consideration 3	2	5	10	3	6	4	8	4	8	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>
Category 4-Care & Custody Services	V//////		80		56		52	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	60	56.00
Consideration 1	4	5	20		16	3	12	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	16	11111111
Consideration 2	4	5	20	2	16	4	16		16	
Consideration 3	4	5	20		12		12		16	
Consideration 4	4	5	20		12	3	12		12	
Category 5-Case Management Services	Willing		65	WININ	51	MIMININ	48	minn	47	48.67
Consideration 1	3	5	15	5	15		12		12	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
Consideration 2	2	5	10	30 Z	8	1.0	8	2	8	
C 'deration 3	2	5	10		6	9	6	4	6	
deration 4	1 1	5	5	3	3	3	3	9	2	
Consideration 5	2	5	10	4	8	4	8	4	8	
Consideration 6	2	5	10	7	8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	8		8	
Consideration 7	1	5	5	3	3		3	7	3	
Category 6-Delinquency Programming	winn		55	minne	37	minner.	35	minni	41	37.67
Consideration 1	2	5	10	manan	8	amana	6	amanna	8	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
Consideration 2	3	5	15		9	34	9	3	9	
Consideration 3	2	5	10	3	6	3	6	,	6	
Consideration 4	2	5	10	3	6	3	6	3		
Consideration 5	2	5	10	3	8	3	8	4	8 10	
Category 7Visitation & Family Involvement	villing			minne	16	minimus.	16	minne	18	16.67
Consideration 1	11111111	5	25 5	mmmm	3		3	mmmm	3	1111111111
Consideration 2		5	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Consideration 3		5	5	3	4	3	4	ن 4	3	
Consideration 4		5	5	3	3	4	3	11	4	
Consideration 5		5	5	3	3	3	3	4		
Category 8Educational Services			25	unium	17	minn	14	minimin .	4	15.67
Consideration 1	1	5	5	minn	3	amanna	4	anagan	4	1111111111
Consideration 2	2	5		3	6	2 2	4	\$ 7		
Consideration 3	2	5	10	9		2	6	3	6	
Category 9Pre-Vocational & Vocational Srys.			10	minne	8	milma	68	unium	12	
Consideration 1			25	minn	15	anagam	15	ungun		14.00
Consideration 2	2 3	5	10	3	6	3	6	3	6	
Consideration 2 Category 10Discharge and Transition Srvs.	Wille		15	minon	9	minne	9	minne	6	
Consideration 1			35		27		21		21	23.00
Consideration 1	4	5	20	4	16	3	12	3	12	///////
	2	5	10	4	8	45	6	3	6	///////
Consideration 3	umm	5	5	minne	3	million .	3	minne	3	
Category 11Staff Training			55		41		35		44	40.00
Consideration 1	2	5	10	4	8	4	8	4	8	///////
Consideration 2	3	5	15	4	12	3.3 %	9	4	12	///////////////////////////////////////
Consideration 3	3	5	15		12		9	5	15	//////
Consideration 4	3	5	15		9		9	3 3 3	9	

Category 12-Behavloral Management System		XIIIIIIX	145		107		107		116	110.00
Consideration 1	5	5	25		25	100	25	4.	20	<i>\\\\\\\</i>
Consideration 2	5	5	25		20		20	4	20	<i>\///////</i>
Consideration 3	5	5	25		15		15	3	15	
Consideration 4	5	5	25	3	15		20	5	25	<i>\\\\\\\</i>
C eration 5	5	5	25		20		15	4	20	
C. deration 6	11111111	5	20	comment.	12	Julianie	12	concession.	16	
Category 13-Religious Srvcs, & Rec./Leisure	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>		45		30		32		34	32.00
Consideration 1	3	5	15	4	12	4	12	*	12	
Consideration 2	2	5	10	3	6		6	4	8	
Consideration 3	2	5	10	3	6		6	3	6	
Consideration 4	2	5	10	3	6	4	8	4	8	
Category 14-Restorative Justice Programming		X////////	50		35		33		40	36.00
Consideration 1	5	5	25	3	15	3	15	4	20	
Consideration 2	2	5	10	4	8	3	6	4	8	\//////
Consideration 3	3	5	15		12	4	12	4	12	<i>\\\\\\\</i>
		<i>\$((((((</i>)))))						Health		
	<i>\\\\\\\</i>	<i>\$(//////</i>)		<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>				Services		
Category 15-Health Services	<i>\\\\\\\</i>		140					SCORES	Points	110
Consideration 1	2	5	10	110-120-1				3	6	
Consideration 2	5	5	25					5	25	
Consideration 3	5	5	25					4	20	
Consideration 4	1	5	5					3	3	
Consideration 5	1	5	5	4				4	4	
Consideration 6	1	5	5					4	. 4	
Consideration 7	2	5	10					5	10	
Consideration 8	1	5	5	e .				2	2	
Consideration 9	1	5	5					4	4	
Consideration 10	1	5	5					5	5	
Consideration 11	1	5	5					3	3	
Consideration 12	1 1	5	5				- 15	3	3	
Consideration 13	3	5	15					3	9	
Considration 14	3	5	15	to the second			3.3	4	12	
= 0 × ·								Health		1
the state of the s				<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>				Services	-	
Category 16-Mental Health & Substance Abuse	<i>\\\\\\</i>		100	VIIIIIIIIII			/////	SCORES	Points	58
Consideration 1	2	5	10					4	8	
Consideration 2	2	5	10					4	8	///////
deration 3	2	5	10	6			11.2	2	4	///////
deration 4	2	5	10	1			-	3	6	///////
Consideration 5	4	5	20	1 14 1				3	12	///////
Consideration 6	4	5	20	and the state of				3	12	///////
Consideration 7	4	5	20					2	8	///////
Total Maximum Points-Technical Propo	1 0-4		900	for the street of the street		war and the same	and the state of the		4.00	633.01

	nius su tre is proposal prima constitue su consistence			4
Technical Proposal Award Criteria		<u></u>		3 ////////////////////////////////////
for OVERLAY SERVICES (Only)	Weight	Maximum Score	Maximum Points	Points
Category 17SAOS / RSAT	VIIIII		45	///////////////////////////////////////
Consideration 1	2	5	10	4 8 ///////
Consideration 2	1 1	5	5	4 4
Consideration 3	1 1	5	5	3 3
Consideration 4	1 1	5	5	2 2
Consideration 5	1	5	5	4 4
Consideration 6	3	5	15	3 9
Category 18BHOS			45	25
Consideration 1	5	5	25	3 15
Consideration 2	4	5	20	2 10
Total Maximum Point	s for Technical	Proposal:	990	Technical Proposal Subtotal: 688.01
(Does not include points for	r Management (Capability)		(Does not include points for Management Capability)

Pas	t Performance Award Criteria	Weight	Maximum Score	Maximur Points	n (////////////////////////////////////	HQPO	
P	Performance for Providers who operate	VIIIII		420	Providers who operate an existing Florida program may	SCORES	Points
4	sting Florida Program (Part I)		<i>X////////////////////////////////////</i>	72.0	receive scores on Past Performance: Parts I and III. When		332.00
			X///////		the points available for Part III, Accreditation are included,		
		<i>\\\\\\\</i>	X///////	- 1	these providers have the potential to eam 480 points.		
	Performance Score	2	100	200	If there is only a Performance score and no Compliance	79.2	450.40
ingen a					score, then for the Performance Scores: use a weight of 2	79.2	158.40
					(instead of 1.5); no change to the Maximum Score; and use		
	Compliance Score	0	100	0	200 for the Maximum Points (instead of 150). If there is only	0	0.00
-					a Performance score and no Compliance score, the		0.00
Î					Complaince score information also needs to change. For the		
					Compliance score, use a weight of 0 (instead of 0.5); no		
					change to the Maximum Score; and use 0 for the Maximum		
					Points (instead of 50). The Performance score and the		
			11 10 11		Compliance score added together are worth a total of 200		
-	× × × ×				maximum points.		
	QA Deemed Status	2	10	20			
	QA Decined Status	4	10	20	The maximum number of deemed status programs qualifying	0	0.00
			İ		for points shall not exceed 2 programs (20 points). If a		
1			ĺ		Provider has more than 2 deemed status programs, a score		
		 			of 2 will be entered.		
100	Failure to Report		5	-20	Negative Points will be deducted. The maximum number of	0	0
	Escapes Low Risk		No Max	No Max	Failure to Reports shall not exceed 5 (-20 points). If a	0	0
	Escapes Mod Risk		No Max	No Max	Provider has more than 5 Failure to Reports, a score of 5 will	0	0
20	Escapes High Risk		No Max	No Max	be entered.	0	0
	Escapes Max Risk	-8	No Max	No Max		0	0
	Successful Completions Rate	2	100	200	Successful Completions Score is worth 200 maximum points.	86.8	173.6
					See RFP for the calculation.		
			1				
	Cure Notices	-20	No Max	No Max	Negative Points will be deducted.	0	0
		-40	No Max	No Max			_
	OR		THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERTY	TTO ITIEX		0	0
Past P	Performance for Providers who DO NOT	mmx	mmmx	040	Devides to Do Mor	077777777	
	te an existing Florida Program (Part II)	/////X		240	Providers who DO NOT operate an existing Florida program		0
	o an oxioning riolida riogram (raitin)	/////X			may receive scores on Past Performance: Parts II and III.		
		///////			When the points available for Part III, Accreditation are		
		///////			included, these providers have the potential to earn 300		
Good s	standing or Compliant Programs	MILION,	minn		points.		
2000 S	randing of Compliant Flograns	40	6	240	Providers can receive 40 points for up to 6 (six) current, non-	*	0
				. (residential, juvenile programs in states other than Florida	100	
la.			1		operated by the prospective provider, which have been		
					determined to be in good standing or compliance with that		
			- 1		state's monitoring system.	5.00	
3.						-3-3	
			- 1	- 1			
		1					
Below A	Average or Failure-Performance Scores	-40	4	-160	Points shall be deducted for up to four (4) programs in states		
				,,,,,	other than Florida, which have been determined to be "below	*	0
^ _ '					average or failure" by the monitoring system of the		
			- 1		contracting government agency. Enter the government	1	
					contracting government agency. Enter the number of programs up to 4 that were determined as "below average or		
					failure."		
(1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1					ialiuic.		
SIL	AND				to the second of		-
ccredi	itation (Part III)	20	3	60	Enter the Accreditation Part III Score received (i.e., 1-3)	3]	- 00
COLCUI		;	-		CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE TAXABLE PROPERTY OF TAXA	DESCRIPTION OF PERSONS ASSESSED.	60
CCIGOI			20.00. 0.00.		Past Performance Subtotal:		392.00

Financial Proposal Award Criteria	Weight	Maximum Score	Maximum Points		Points
Cost or Price Financial Capabilities		200 200	200 200	200	200 200
the and the contract of the co	1110-1110-1110-111			Financial Proposal Subtotal:	400

SIGNATURE OF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR (CA) JAMES JAMES DATE 19/1/09

Total: 1580.01